

<p><b>OPTIONS</b> <i>All monetary figures reflect a "ball park" estimate</i></p>	<p><b>PROS</b></p>	<p><b>CONS</b></p>
<p>1. Construct 750-900 seat high school Master Plan to accommodate future growth up to 1500 No stadium, practice fields only, with space available for stadium as long-term goal on master plan Centralized CTE facility - \$5,000,000 (Business &amp; Agriculture is maintained at new high school and other programs into centralized facility) Convert current CTE space into classroom space @ \$2,200,000 Cost based on 175,000 to 190,000 gross sq. ft. Cash fund \$6,000,000 Bond referendum for approximately \$24,000,000 tied to 900 student number Timeline open 2007-2008 <b>ESTIMATED TOTAL: \$37,200,000</b></p>	<p>1. Addresses student growth at moderate cost 2. Annual operating expenses lower than two facilities (utilities, staffing, transportation) 3. State of the Art CTE facility will be a draw for the community, will help build relationships with people in various parts of the county and optimizes state and local resources 4. Places academics above athletics</p>	<p>1. FHS core facilities &amp; traffic flow must be addressed 2. Central CTE facility will induce transportation issues and loss of control if partnering with outside agency 3. Lack of athletic facility might cause perception of "second class school" 4. Compromises small school concept contrary to research and Secondary Programming Committee's recommendation</p>
<p>1a. Construct 750-900 seat high school Master Plan to accommodate future growth up to 1500 Include athletic stadiums @ 3.5 M Centralized CTE facility - \$5,000,000 Convert current CTE space into classroom space @\$2.2 M Cash fund \$6,000,000 Bond referendum for approximately 27.5 M <b>ESTIMATED TOTAL: \$40,700,000</b></p>	<p>1. Addresses student growth at moderate cost 2. Annual operating expenses lower than two facilities (utilities, staffing, transportation) 3. State of the Art CTE facility will be a draw for the community, will help build relationships with people in various parts of the county, and optimizes state and local resources 4. Offers athletic program</p>	<p>1. FHS core facilities &amp; traffic flow must be addressed 2. Central CTE facility will induce transportation issues, and loss of control if partnering with outside agency 3. Compromises small school concept 4. More expensive</p>
<p>2. Construct 900-1200 seat high school Master Plan to accommodate 1500 Basic football/track/baseball facilities (LHS) Include Comprehensive CTE facilities at all high schools Addition of CTE facility at LHS \$2,500,000 Cash fund \$6,000,000 Bond referendum \$32,500,000 <b>ESTIMATED TOTAL: \$41,000,000</b></p>	<p>1. Addresses student growth at moderate cost 2. Annual operating expenses lower than two facilities (utilities, staffing, transportation) 3. Maintains control of CTE programs and no transportation issues 4. Offers athletic program</p>	<p>1. Compromises small school concept 2. Duplicates CTE costs at each high school 3. More expensive</p>

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>OPTIONS</b> <i>All monetary figures reflect a "ball park" estimate</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>PROS</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>CONS</b></p>
<p>2a. Construct 900-1200 Master Plan to accommodate 1500 Basic football/baseball facilities Centralized CTE facility \$5,000,000 Convert current CTE space into classroom space @\$2.2 M Cash fund \$6,000,000 Bond referendum \$27,500,000 <b>ESTIMATED TOTAL:       \$40,700,000</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Addresses student growth at moderate cost</li><li>2. Annual operating expenses lower than two facilities (utilities, staffing, transportation)</li><li>3. State of the Art CTE facility will be a draw for the community, will help build relationships with people in various parts of the county, optimizes state and local resources</li><li>4. Offers athletic program</li></ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. FHS core facilities &amp; traffic flow must be addressed</li><li>2. Compromises small school concept</li><li>3. Central CTE facility will induce transportation issues, and loss of control if partnering with outside agency</li><li>3. More expensive</li></ol>
<p>3. Construct two 750 seat high schools in two different geographical areas No stadium, practice fields only Centralized CTE facility - \$5,000,000 Convert current CTE space into classroom space @\$2.2 M Cash fund \$6,000,000 Bond referendum \$30,000,000 <b>ESTIMATED TOTAL:       \$43,200,000</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Evidence of a correlation between school size and academic achievement</li><li>2. A sense of community</li><li>3. State of the Art CTE facility will be a draw for the community, will help build relationships with people in various parts of the county, optimizes state and local resources</li><li>4. Fewer disciplinary issues</li><li>5. Places academics above athletics</li><li>6. Less transit time</li><li>7. Geographic balancing of high schools</li><li>8. Less acreage needed</li><li>9. Increase opportunities for student leadership</li></ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. May limit course offerings</li><li>2. Limit courses taught by teachers</li><li>3. Central CTE facility will induce transportation issues, and loss of control if partnering with outside agency</li><li>4. Higher annual operating expenses</li><li>5. Lack of athletic facility might cause perception of "second class school"</li><li>6. Build small...Act Small in regards to athletics and CTE</li><li>7. Additional teachers due to licensure</li><li>8. Increased staff and general operating costs</li><li>9. May create equity and access issues with 2 small high schools and 2 large existing high schools</li></ol>

<p><b>OPTIONS</b> <i>All monetary figures reflect a “ball park” estimate</i></p>	<p><b>PROS</b></p>	<p><b>CONS</b></p>
<p>3a. Construct two 750 seat high schools in two different geographical areas Basic Sports Complex included in each high school at \$2,000,000 each Centralized CTE facility - \$5,000,000 Convert current CTE space into classroom space @\$2.2 M Cash fund \$6,000,000 Bond referendum \$30,000,0000 <b>ESTIMATED TOTAL: \$47,200,000</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Evidence of a correlation between school size and academic achievement</li> <li>2. A sense of community</li> <li>3. State of the Art CTE facility will be a draw for the community, will help build relationships with people in various parts of the county, optimizes state and local resources</li> <li>4. Fewer disciplinary issues</li> <li>5. Offers athletic program</li> <li>6. Less transit time</li> <li>7. Geographic balancing of high schools</li> <li>8. Less acreage needed</li> <li>9. Increase opportunities for student leadership</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. May limit course offerings</li> <li>2. Limit courses taught by teachers</li> <li>3. Central CTE facility will induce transportation issues, and loss of control if partnering with outside agency</li> <li>4. Higher annual operating expenses</li> <li>5. Build small...Act Small in regards to CTE</li> <li>6. Additional teachers due to licensure</li> <li>7. Increased staff and general operating costs</li> <li>8. May create equity and access issues with 2 small high schools and 2 large existing high schools</li> </ol>
<p>3b. Build Two 750 Pupil High Schools Equitable CTE on all sites (2.5M –LHS; 5M-new schools) @ \$7,500,000 Basic Athletic Complex (both schools) @ \$4,000,000 Cash fund \$6,000,000 Bond referendum \$30,000,000 <b>ESTIMATED TOTAL: \$47,500,000</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Evidence of a correlation between school size and academic achievement</li> <li>2. A sense of community</li> <li>3. Fewer disciplinary issues</li> <li>4. Maintains control of CTE programs and no transportation issues</li> <li>5. Offers athletic program</li> <li>6. Less transit time</li> <li>7. Geographic balancing of high schools</li> <li>8. Less acreage needed</li> <li>9. Increase opportunities for student leadership</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. May limit course offerings</li> <li>2. Limit courses taught by teachers</li> <li>3. Higher annual operating expenses</li> <li>4. Duplicates CTE costs at each high school</li> <li>5. Additional teachers due to licensure</li> <li>6. Increased staff and general operating costs</li> <li>7. May create equity and access issues with 2 small high schools and 2 large existing high schools</li> </ol>

<p><b>OPTIONS</b> <i>All monetary figures reflect a “ball park” estimate</i></p>	<p><b>PROS</b></p>	<p><b>CONS</b></p>
<p>4. Create two 9<sup>th</sup> grade facilities            Use one existing middle school and expand capacity to 600 – \$6,000,000            Build new middle school as temporary 9<sup>th</sup> grade house – \$17,000,000            Renovate in-town middle school and expand to 600 - \$6,000,000            Build 9<sup>th</sup> Grade pod at Liberty High School - \$10,000,000            Construct comprehensive CTE Center at Liberty High School – \$2,500,000            Build new high school with comprehensive CTE and Athletics – \$38,500,000  <b>ESTIMATED TOTAL: \$80,000,000</b></p>		<p><b>The School Board agreed that this option was not a cost effective option.</b></p>